IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,))))))
Plaintiffs,))
vs.)) 05-CV-0329 TCK-SAJ
TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC., AVIAGEN, INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC., CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC., CARGILL, INC., CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC, GEORGE'S, INC., GEORGE'S FARMS, INC., PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC., and WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.,))))))))
Defendants.))
TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC., GEORGE'S, INC., GEORGE'S FARMS, INC., PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC., and WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.,)))))
Third Party Plaintiffs,))
vs.))
CITY OF TAHLEQUAH, et al.,))
Third Party Defendants.	<i>)</i>)

THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER TO AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM OF THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT, CITY OF WATTS

Defendants/Third Party Plaintiffs, Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken Inc., Cobb-Vantress, Inc., George's, Inc., George's Farms, Inc., Peterson Farms, Inc., Simmons Foods, Inc., and Willow Brook Foods, Inc. (collectively "Third Party Plaintiffs"), hereby submit their Answer to the Amended Counterclaim of Third Party Defendant, City of Watts ("Third Party Defendant") [Docket # 205], and state as follows:

ADMISSIONS AND DENIALS

- 1. Third Party Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim.
- 2. Third Party Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim.
- 3. Third Party Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim.
- 4. As to Third Party Defendant's Paragraph 4 of its Amended Counterclaim, the documents attached as Exhibit "A" to the Amended Counterclaim speak for themselves; however, to the extent that these documents contain averments and allegations in support of Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim that can be admitted or denied, Third Party Plaintiffs deny all such averments and allegations.
- 5. Third Party Plaintiffs state they are without sufficient information as to either admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Amended Counterclaim; therefore, the allegations are denied.
- Third Party Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the 6. Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim.

7. Third Party Plaintiffs deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Third Party Defendant's Counterclaim.

As to the "Therefore Clause" at the end of Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim, Third Party Plaintiffs deny that Third Party Defendant is entitled to any relief from them whatsoever including attorney fees and costs.

AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES

While continuing to deny the material averments of Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim, Third Party Plaintiffs set forth the following affirmative and other defenses to Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8 and 12:

- 1. The Amended Counterclaim fails to state a claim, in whole or in part, against Third Party Plaintiffs for which relief can be granted.
- 2. Third Party Defendant lacks standing to maintain an action for any actual or alleged injury to the waters or natural resources of the Illinois River.
- 3. While continuing to deny the material averments of the Amended Counterclaim and Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma has claimed sole and complete dominion over the subject matter of Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim under the doctrine of *parens patriae* and otherwise, thereby further denying Third Party Defendant standing to maintain any of the claims contained in the Amended Counterclaim.
- 4. While continuing to deny the material averments of the Amended Counterclaim and Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, the Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment has claimed sole and complete dominion over the subject matter of Third

Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim under the doctrine of parens patriae and otherwise, thereby further denying Third Party Defendant standing to maintain any of the claims contained in the Amended Counterclaim as they relate to the natural resources of the State of Oklahoma.

- 5. The injury alleged by Third Party Defendant was not caused by any act or omission of Third Party Plaintiffs or anyone under their control.
- 6. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
- 7. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred by the doctrine of laches.
- 8. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims with regard to alleged injuries to the waters of the Illinois River are prohibited by OKLA. STAT. tit. 11, § 22-116.
- 9. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.
- 10. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims should be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted, because said claims invade the sovereignty of the State of Arkansas.
- 11. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims should be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted, because said claims violate the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 12. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims should be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted, because said claims violate the Dormant Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution.

- 13. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims should be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted, because said claims are preempted by the federal Clean Water Act.
- 14. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims should be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted, because the land application of poultry litter within the Illinois River Watershed ("IRW") is specifically authorized by the statutes and regulations of Oklahoma and Arkansas.
- Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim should be dismissed for 15. failure to join one or more indispensable parties.
- 16. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred to the extent they rely on the retroactive application of any statute, regulation or standard of conduct.
- 17. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred to the extent they are predicated upon conditions located on private lands, within privately-owned waters, on federal lands or any condition located within Indian Country.
- 18. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred under the doctrines of estoppel, waiver and consent by virtue of the State of Oklahoma's legislative enactments, which expressly authorize, allow and direct the manner in which poultry litter may be land applied within the IRW.
- 19. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred under the doctrines of estoppel, waiver and consent by virtue of the State of Oklahoma's regulatory oversight of the land application of poultry litter in the IRW, coupled with the State of Oklahoma's failure to advise Third Party Plaintiffs or any independent poultry farmer

with whom it contracts that any of their conduct had, is or will result in any natural resource injury whatsoever.

- 20. The Amended Counterclaim fails to state any facts to support any claim that any act or omission of Third Party Plaintiffs directly and proximately resulted in any injury for which Third Party Defendant can recover.
- 21. While continuing to deny the material allegations of the Counterclaim, Third Party Plaintiffs state that Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, by its own conduct that contributed to the injuries it claims.
- 22. Third Party Defendant's amended counterclaims are barred, in whole or in part, by its failure to mitigate its alleged damages.
- 23. Third Party Plaintiffs state that their conduct must be adjudged solely according to the standards set forth in the statutes and regulations of the states of Oklahoma and Arkansas that occupy the field by regulating the management of poultry litter within the IRW.
- 24. The damages of which Third Party Defendant complains, if any, are the result of acts or omissions of individuals or entities over which Third Party Plaintiffs have or had no control and for which Third Party Plaintiffs have no responsibility.
- 25. Third Party Plaintiffs state that they cannot be held liable for the land application of poultry litter by those third parties, who through a private transaction with poultry farmers, acquire poultry litter for their own use according to their own terms.
- Third Party Plaintiffs hereby adopt and incorporate by reference any other 26. statement of defense asserted by any Defendant in this action.

Third Party Plaintiffs specifically reserve the right to amend this Answer to include additional general or affirmative defenses and to assert additional counterclaims or cross-claims upon completion of discovery.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Defendants/Third Party Plaintiffs, Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken Inc., Cobb-Vantress, Inc., George's, Inc., George's Farms, Inc., Peterson Farms, Inc., Simmons Foods, Inc., and Willow Brook Foods, Inc. pray for relief from the Court and that judgment be entered for them and against Third Party Defendant City of Watts, on Third Party Defendant's Amended Counterclaim, and that Third Party Plaintiffs be awarded their costs and reasonable attorney fees against Third Party Defendant City of Watts and that the Court grant Third Party Plaintiffs any other relief as may be just and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted by and on behalf of:

By s/ Nicole M. Longwell A. Scott McDaniel (Okla. Bar No. 16460) Chris A. Paul (Okla. Bar No. 14416) Nicole M. Longwell (Okla. Bar No. 18771) Philip D. Hixon (Okla. Bar No. 19121) JOYCE, PAUL & McDANIEL, PLLC 1717 South Boulder Ave., Suite 200 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119 (918) 599-0700 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT PETERSON FARMS, INC. By s/ Stephen L. Jantzen s/ Nicole M. Longwell (Signed by permission of Stephen L. Jantzen) Stephen L. Jantzen (Okla. Bar No. 16247) Patrick M. Ryan (Okla. Bar No. 7864) Paula M. Buchwald (Okla. Bar No. 20464) RYAN, WHALEY & COLDIRON, P.C. 119 N. Robinson 900 Robinson Renaissance Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 239-6040

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., and COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

By s/R. Thomas Lay s/Nicole M. Longwell (Signed by permission of R. Thomas Lay) R. Thomas Lay (Okla. Bar No. 5297) KERR, IRVINE, RHODES & ABLES 201 Robert S. Kerr Ave., Suite 600 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 272-9221 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

By s/George W. Owens s/Nicole M. Longwell (Signed by permission of George W. Owens) George W. Owens (Okla. Bar No. 6833) The Owens Law Firm, P.C. 234 West 13th Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119 (918) 587-0021 (918) 587-6111 Fax COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS GEORGE'S FARMS, INC. AND GEORGE'S, INC.

By s/ John R. Elrod s/ Nicole M. Longwell

(Signed by permission of John R. Elrod)

John R. Elrod (Arkansas Bar No. 71026)

Vicki Bronson (Arkansas Bar No. 97058)

CONNER & WINTERS, P.C.

100 West Center Street, Suite 200

Fayetteville, AR 72701

and

Daniel Richard Funk (Okla. Bar No. 13070)

Bruce Freeman (Okla. Bar No. 10812)

CONNER & WINTERS, P.C.

15 East 5th Street, Suite 3700

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-4344

(918) 586-8559

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 27th day of February 2006, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson Attorney General Kelly Hunter Burch J. Trevor Hammons Assistant Attorneys General State of Oklahoma

2300 North Lincoln Blvd., Suite 112 Oklahoma City, OK 73105

and

J. Randall Miller David P. Page Louis W. Bullock Miller Keffer & Bullock 222 S. Kenosha

Tulsa, OK 74120-2421 and

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Stephen L. Jantzen Patrick M. Ryan Paula M. Buchwald

Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C.

119 N. Robinson

900 Robinson Renaissance Oklahoma City, OK 73102

and

Mark D. Hopson Jay Thomas Jorgensen Timothy K. Webster

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP

1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005

and

Robert W. George Kutack Rock LLP

The Three Sisters Building 214 West Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701-5221

COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

Douglas Allen Wilson Melvin David Riggs Richard T. Garren Sharon K. Weaver

Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis

502 West 6th Street Tulsa, OK 74119-1010

and

Robert Allen Nance **Dorothy Sharon Gentry**

Riggs Abney

5801 N. Broadway, Suite 101 Oklahoma City, OK 73118

and

Elizabeth C. Ward Frederick C. Baker Motley Rice LLC 28 Bridgeside Blvd. Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

R. Thomas Lay

Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables 201 Robert S. Kerr Ave., Suite 600

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

and

Thomas J. Grever Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

2345 Grand Blvd., Suite 2800 Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2684

and

Jennifer S. Griffin Lathrop & Gage, L.C. 314 E. High Street

Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-3004 COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann Lawrence W. Zeringue David C .Senger

Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry &

Taylor, PLLC P. O. Box 1710

Tulsa, OK 74101-1710

and

Robert E. Sanders E. Stephen Williams Young Williams P.A. P. O. Box 23059

Jackson, MS 39225-3059

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

George W. Owens Randall E. Rose

The Owens Law Firm, P.C.

234 West 13th Street Tulsa, OK 74119

and

James M. Graves Gary V. Weeks Bassett Law Firm P. O. Box 3618

Fayetteville, AR 72702-3618

COUNSEL FOR GEORGE'S INC. AND

GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

John R. Elrod Vicki Bronson

Conner & Winters, P.C.

100 West Center Street, Suite 200

Fayetteville, AR 72701

and

Bruce W. Freeman D. Richard Funk Conner & Winters, LLLP

3700 First Place Tower 15 East Fifth Street Tulsa, OK 74103-4344

COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

John H. Tucker Colin H. Tucker Theresa Noble Hill

Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, Tucker & Gable

P. O. Box 21100

Tulsa, OK 74121-1100

and

Terry W. West The West Law Firm 124 W. Highland Street

P. O. Box 698

Shawnee, OK 74802-0698

and

Delmar R. Ehrich John F. Jeske

Faegre & Benson LLP

90 South 7th Street, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3901 COUNSEL FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION,

LLC

Jo Nan Allen 219 W. Keetoowah Tahlequah, OK 74464

COUNSEL FOR CITY OF WATTS

Park Medearis Medearis Law Firm, PLLC 226 West Choctaw Tahlequah, OK 74464

COUNSEL FOR CITY OF TAHLEQUAH

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

William H. Narwold Motley Rice LLC 20 Church St., 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 and

C. Miles Tolbert Secretary of the Environment State of Oklahoma 3800 North Classen Oklahoma City, OK 73118

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Thomas C. Green Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005 COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

s/Nicole M. Longwell